

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/711,758	FURUKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MAHMOUD DAHIMENE	1792	

All Participants:

(1) MAHMOUD DAHIMENE.

(2) ADREW WRIGHT.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2010

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 10:00 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In a number of telephonic discussions dating from 3/16/10 to 3/23/10, the examiner proposed to amend independent claims 1, 8 and 21 to define a structural relationship between the "target shape" and the STI loop. The attorney agreed to amend the claims.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/M. D./

Examiner, Art Unit 1792

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)